EXHIBIT A

10/31/2014 12:14 Case 1:14434 502294-AJP Discultient 51 Filed 10/31/14 Page 1 of 9 @ 006/013

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BEACON ASSOCIATES LLC I, BEACON
ASSOCIATES LLC II, ANDOVER ASSOCIATES,
L.P., ANDOVER ASSOCIATES LLC I, ANDOVER
ASSOCIATES (QP) LLC,

Plaintiffs,

-vs-

BEACON ASSOCIATES MANAGEMENT CORP.; ANDOVER ASSOCIATES MANAGEMENT CORP.; INCOME PLUS INVESTMENT FUND; DAVID FASTENBERG, TRUSTEE, LONG ISLAND VITREO-RETINAL CONSULTANTS 401K FBO DAVID FASTENBERG,

Defendants.

DOC# DATE , LED:

Index No. 14-CV-2294

USEC FORY

FINAL DISTRIBUTION ORDER & SUDENCE

This matter having come before the Court at an in-person Fairness Hearing, held on October 7, 2014, and having been opened to the Court by Herrick Feinstein LLP, attorneys for Plaintiffs Beacon Associates LLC I ("Beacon I"), Beacon Associates LLC II ("Beacon II"), (collectively, "Beacon"); Andover Associates, L.P. ("Andover LP"), Andover Associates LLC II ("Andover I"), Andover Associates (QP) LLC ("Andover QP"), Andover Associates LLC II ("Andover II") (collectively, "Andover"); (both Beacon and Andover collectively, the "Funds" or "Fund"); and by Folkenflik & McGerity, attorney for David Fastenberg, Trustee, Long Island Vitreo-Retinal Consultants 401k FBO David Fastenberg ("Fastenberg"); and by Hiscock & Barclay, LLP, attorney for Income Plus Investment Fund ("Income Plus");

And, upon the Memorandum of Defendant Income-Plus Investment Fund In Response to Plaintiffs' Request for Declaratory Judgment, and the Declaration of Brian E. Whiteley and the exhibits thereto, and the Declaration of John P. Jeanneret, Ph.D. and the exhibits thereto; and

10/31/2014 12:15Case 2:12493/1502294-AJP Dbbbliffenf51 Filed 10/31/14 Page 2 of 9 2007/013

upon the Defendant Fastenberg's Memorandum of Law in Support of IIis Request for a Mandatory Injunction and a Declaratory Judgment, and the Declaration of Max Folkenslik and the exhibits thereto; and upon the Memorandum of Defendant Income-Plus Investment Fund in Reply to Defendant Fastenberg's Memorandum of Law in Support of His Request for a Manadatory Injunction and Declaratory Judgment, and the Reply Declaration of Brian E. Whiteley and exhibit thereto; and upon the Fastenberg Reply Memorandum of Law in Further Support of His Request for A Mandatory Injunction and a Declaratory Judgment, and the Reply Declaration of Max Folkenslik and exhibit thereto; and upon the submissions of Robert E. Decker, Ironworkers Local 6 Pension Fund, Raubvogel Investors, and Howard Siegel, and upon oral argument before this Court on October 7, 2014 in which counsel for the Funds, Fastenberg, and Income Plus, as well as investors Robert E. Decker and Howard Siegel argued;

And, the Funds having provided notice of the Complaint (the "Action") and issues to be decided in this Action to all investors by letter dated June 26, 2014 (the "June 26, 2014 Investor Notice Letter), which letter informed all investors of the issues to be decided in this Action, each investor's rights in accordance with the determination of the issues to be decided in this Action, the details of this Court's June 2, 2014 Scheduling Order, the deadlines set forth therein for the submission of papers to the Court and Notice of the October 7, 2014 Fairness Hearing;

And, the Funds having informed each investor in the June 26, 2014 Investor Notice Letter that it had set up a web page at www.herrick.com/beaconandover (the "Beacon Andover Litigation Web Page") where copies of the Complaint, the Scheduling Order and all other relevant documents posted by parties to this Action on United States District Court for the Southern District of New York's CM/ECF System would be timely posted so as to afford all

10/31/2014 12:15C586 1212698/102294-AJP DB5187661251 Filed 10/31/14 Page 3 of 9

Ø 008/013

investors timely notice of all issues in this Action, and the Funds having fully complied with such directive:

And, the Funds having provided all inquiring counsel and inquiring Fund investors with financial information in order to evaluate the different methods of distribution and the amounts of the Funds' expenses and the past allocation of such expenses and any and all other financial and other data requested;

And, this Court having found that prior monics distributed by the Funds were properly distributed and the prior expenses allocated by the Funds were properly allocated;

And, this Court having found that the method of distribution set forth below is the most equitable method of distribution taking into account the Funds' operative documents, the law and equity, and for good cause shown:

ORDERED THAT all monies recovered by the Beacon Funds from the Madoff Bankruptcy Trustee (the "Beacon Bankruptcy Recovery") and future money due to the Beacon Funds from the Madoff Trustee based upon an Allowed Madoff Bankruptcy claim of \$159,867,924.62 and any monies recovered by the Beacon Funds directly attributed or based upon the Beacon Funds' Madoff loss (i.e. settlements of the lawsuits identified by the parties), exclusive of: (a) the Beacon Clawback Amount (defined below) which was paid back to the Beacon Funds by the Madoff Bankruptcy Trustee (the "Beacon Net Bankruptcy Recovery") and (b) the \$2,842,270.00 return of unpaid but accrued management fees which in accordance with the Ivy Class Action settlement before the Honorable Colleen McMahon (the "Judge McMahon Ordered Plan of Allocation") were previously distributed to investors out of Madoff Trustee money, should be distributed in accordance with Net Equity Method, as defined below, until all

10/31/2014 12:15 (Tase 1:144의 502294-AJP Disculption 51 Filed 10/31/14 Page 4 of 9 @ 009/013

investors are made whole (i.e., have received back all of their principal invested in Beacon), hereinaster referred to as the "Beacon Net Equity Break Even Point";

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT All monies recovered by the Andover Funds from the Madoff Bankruptcy Trustee (the "Andover Bankruptcy Recovery") and future money due to the Andover Funds from the Madoff Trustee based upon an Allowed Madoff Bankruptcy claim of \$5,032,817.38, and any monies recovered by the Andover Funds directly attributed or based upon the Andover Funds' Madoff loss (i.e. settlements of the lawsuits identified by the parties), exclusive of the \$515,423.00 return of unpaid but accrued management fees which in accordance with the Judge McMahon Ordered Plan of Allocation were previously distributed to investors out of Madoff Trustee money, should be distributed in accordance with Net Equity Method, as defined below, until all investors are made whole (i.e., have received back all of their principal invested in Andover), hereinafter referred to as the "Andover Net Equity Break Even Point" (and when referring generically to the separate Beacon Net Equity Break Even Point and Andover Net Equity Break Even Point, generally the "Net Equity Break Even Point");

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT once each Beacon or Andover investor reaches Not Equity Break Even Point, all future distributions by either the Beacon Fund or Andover Fund to its respective investors will be distributed in accordance with the Valuation Method pursuant to this Court's July 27, 2010 Decision and Order (the "2010 Valuation Distribution Order") and as further defined below, with any necessary appropriate immaterial adjustments such as an audit adjustment or otherwise. The sole exception to the foregoing shall be that any net winner investor — i.e., any investor in the Beacon Fund or Andover Fund who, as of the Madoff fraud discovery date recalculation of such investor's Net Equity, has received distributions in excess of the investor's investment (hereinafter, a "Net-Winner"), or any investor who became a Not-

10/31/2014 12:15CESE 121258V102294-AJP DUBUMAE/ht251 Filed 10/31/14 Page 5 of 9 @ 010/013

Winner due to distributions subsequent to the Mados's fraud discovery date by the investor's respective Fund — will not share in post Net Equity Break Even Point distributions by the investor's respective Fund until all other non-Net-Winner investors of such Fund have reached the level of profit of such Net-Winner, and then, from the next dollar of distribution forward by the investor's Fund, such Net-Winner's will receive their pro rate share of all future Valuation Method distributions by the Fund, after first deducting the amount previously received in excess of the Break Even Point;

IT IS FURTILER ORDERED THAT in employing the Net Equity Method the following computation shall be used by each of Beacon and Andover: Each Fund shall compute each investor's remaining unpaid investment in such Fund based upon each investor's total cash contributions and subtract all cash distributions or withdrawals to that investor (inclusive of (i) the money investors received from the Ivy Class Action settlement before the Honorable Colleen McMahon distributed in accordance with the "Judge McMahon Ordered Plan of Allocation"), (ii) the monies distributed to Beacon Fund investors in 2010 in accordance with the July 27, 2010 Decision and Order of this Court (the "2010 Valuation Distribution Order"), and (iii) the 2013 distribution to Beacon Fund investors which included money distributed pursuant to the plan of allocation set forth in the 2010 Valuation Distribution Order and money distributed pursuant to the Judge McMahon Ordered Plan of Allocation), resulting in the investor's remaining "Net Liquity." The total Net Equity of each investor in the Fund is then divided into the total remaining Net Equity of all investors in the Fund to calculate the investor's "Net Equity Sharing Ratio" in that Fund. Any money distributed by the Fund shall first be distributed on the basis of each investor's Net Equity Sharing Ratio in that Fund. Distributions to each investor shall cease when that investor's Net Equity Sharing Ratio is zero (i.e., all Net Equity for that 10/31/2014 12:18CBSe 1214580162294-AJP DUEDMARKE Filed 10/31/14 Page 6 of 9

Ø 011/013

investor has been recovered). Distribution from the Fund to each such investor shall be resumed, on the Valuation basis, only after all investors reach a Net Equity Sharing Ratio of zero in that Fund.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT in employing the Valuation Method the following computation shall be used by each of Beacon and Andover: Each Fund shall compute each investor's allocated pro rata share of the Madoff losses as used for the Madoff Theft Loss deduction in each investor's Schedule K-1 for 2008¹ (the "Valuation Sharing Ratio"), exclusive of the Defendants named in the Funds' Bankruptcy Recovery Settlement Agreement as having waived any entitlement to and receipt of any distributions. Once all Non- Net-Winner investors in the Fund reach a Net Equity Sharing Ratio of zero, all subsequent distributions from that Fund shall be on the basis of each investor's Valuation Sharing Ratio, except, the excess amount distributed to or withdrawn by Net -Winner investors over their Net Equity Break Even Point shall be deducted from any distributions to be made to them based on their Valuation Sharing Ratio. In calculating distributions under the Valuation Method, the deduction of excess distributions by Net Winners shall be made and properly distributed to comply with the intent of this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the Beacon \$19,766,425.29 clawback paid to the Madoff Bankruptcy Trustee (the "Beacon Clawback Amount") previously distributed in accordance with the Valuation Method, as defined by the 2010 Valuation Distribution Order was and hereby shall be deemed properly distributed;

^{1.} The theft loss allocations under the Valuation Method were almost identical to the allocations had they been made pursuant to the Sharing Ratios (as such term is used in the Agreement) of the Investors in the Beacon Fund. A slight difference between those allocations arose because two investors had interests only in Beacon's investments in BMIS, and not in any other investments made by Beacon. Accordingly, the Valuation Method produced an allocation of a slightly higher portion of losses due to those two investors than would have resulted from an allocation based on Sharing Ratios.

10/31/2014 12:16 Case 1124964502294-AJP D666FA6rR 51 Filed 10/31/14 Page 7 of 9 2012/013

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the method used to allocate expenses in the past was and hereby shall be deemed proper and shall not be modified. On a going forward basis, should the Funds incur expenses over the amounts already reserved for, the Beacon and Andover Funds shall allocate these additional expenses pra rata based upon the percentage of money distributed in accordance with the Net Equity Method and monics distributed in accordance with the Valuation Method;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the Punds shall within five (5) business days of the issuance of this Order: (i) mail, by U.S. first-class postage mail, a copy of this Order to every Fund investor along with a letter describing this Order and such investor's right to challenge or appeal this Order (the "Funds' Final Distribution Order Notice Letter"); and (ii) post of copy of the Funds' Final Distribution Order Notice Letter and the Final Distribution Order on the Beacon Andover Litigation Web Page:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT a distribution to investors of monies currently held by the Funds in an amount determined in the discretion of the Fund Managers, after consultation with the Fund Accountants, shall be made in accordance with this Order no later than thirty (30) days after this Order becomes final and all appeals therefrom have been exhausted (calculated as affording each investor forty-five days from the date of mailing of this Order to each investor by first-class U.S. Mail, to challenge or appeal this Court's Order) absent further order from this Court or any higher court that such distribution shall be stayed in part or in whole;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT this Court shall retain jurisdiction over any issues that arise with respect to the distribution of funds pursuant to this Order, the final liquidation of the Funds and any potential adjustments made to any individual investor with such

10/31/2014 12:16 CASe 111496V-02294-AJP DECUTATION 51 Filed 10/31/14 Page 8 of 9 @013/013

investor having the right to challenge any such adjustment after being advised of the proposed adjustment by the Funds or the Fund seeking a further Order from the Court's with respect to any such proposed adjustment upon notice to the investor; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT this constitutes the final decision and Order of the

Honorable Andrey J. Peck, U.S.M.

Southern District of New York

HON. ANDREW J. PECK
United States Magistrate Judge
Southern District of New York

ayyEZP! All Commol

BY ECF